



Beyond borders and class: A comparative assessment of higher education opportunities for socio-economically disadvantaged populations across rural and urban settings

Shefali Javed

Research Scholar, Department of Education, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.66856/ijaer.2026.11.4.11045>

Abstract

Advanced learning plays a vital role in fostering socio-economic advancement, cultivating human talent, and promoting equitable national progress. Nevertheless, individuals from underserved socio-economic strata, particularly those residing in both rural and urban settings, are often barred from obtaining high-quality tertiary education. This research offers a profound investigation into how marginalized groups are excluded from schooling because of the disproportionate effects of geographic location, financial standing, social identity, and institutional barriers. It scrutinizes the systemic frameworks and inherent disparities within the educational landscape. Furthermore, the study explores engagement in higher learning through the lenses of the digital gap, economic hardships, gender prejudices, and legislative interventions. By employing a comparative analytical methodology, this inquiry uncovers the multifaceted challenges faced by students from underprivileged backgrounds and evaluates state-led initiatives concerning educational fairness. The findings indicate that urban centers possess an edge due to better institutional presence and greater academic immersion. Conversely, rural populations encounter persistent obstacles despite existing government support. To guarantee equal prospects in higher and vocational education regardless of wealth or geography, we must overhaul our long-term strategies concerning inclusive facilities, monetary aid, technological connectivity, grassroots engagement, and institutional responsibility.

Keywords: Tertiary education, socio-economic exclusion, countryside learning, metropolitan education, scholastic fairness, integration. post-secondary schooling has established itself as a primary catalyst for financial progress, societal shifts, creative advancement, and civic engagement. it equips people with expertise, analytical skills, vocational proficiencies, and paths toward better social standing. within emerging nations like india, advanced learning also serves as a tool for alleviating poverty, advancing social fairness, and bolstering domestic growth

Introduction

Even though there has been a massive surge in colleges and universities over the last twenty years, entry to advanced studies stays deeply unbalanced. Learners from socio-economically marginalized backgrounds—specifically Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), Other Backward Classes (OBCs), religious minorities, females, and those from village communities—persistently encounter various obstacles when seeking high-quality instruction.

The gap between metropolitan and countryside regions further exacerbates these imbalances. City-based students typically benefit from superior academic infrastructure, expert instructors, technological tools, transit options, tutoring centers, and job prospects. In contrast, students in rural areas often struggle with deficient learning facilities, weak web access, monetary difficulties, scarce vocational counseling, and societal limitations.

This research offers a comparative evaluation of the tertiary schooling prospects accessible to socio-economically underprivileged groups in both rural and urban environments, while pinpointing the systemic elements that cause these academic discrepancies.

1. Socio-Economic Marginalization Concept

Socio-economic exclusion refers to the methodical denial of economic assets, schooling, medical services, jobs, political involvement, and the advantages of societal progress to specific people or collectives. Various elements, including poverty, caste, gender, ethnic identity, physical impairments, and religious affiliation, serve to push certain

groups away from the core processes of advancement. This state of being sidelined does more than just create financial hardship; it also restricts a person's capacity to engage with society and stifles their personal growth.

The phenomenon of socio-economic marginalization acts as a major roadblock to entering, participating in, and succeeding within higher education. Learners from underprivileged backgrounds frequently encounter complex, overlapping layers of hardship. Financial instability within the home often makes it nearly impossible to cover costs like tuition, textbooks, commuting, lodging, and the technology required for university studies. Additionally, parents with limited educational backgrounds may be unable to offer necessary scholastic support. Furthermore, prejudice rooted in caste, tribal identity, gender, or minority status negatively influences a student's self-esteem, drive, and overall campus experience.

Those residing in isolated or rural areas encounter further obstacles caused by geographical distance, which limits their proximity to academic facilities and essential services. Due to substandard schooling during their formative years, many students find themselves academically ill-equipped for the rigors of tertiary education. Moreover, a lack of internet connectivity, hardware, or basic technical skills—known as digital exclusion—has become a critical issue given the rise of web-based instruction. Compounding these issues is the deficiency in institutional aid; without dedicated mentorship, psychological counseling, monetary help, and inclusive frameworks, marginalized students struggle to persist within higher learning environments.

Gender disparities also represent a significant hurdle. Many young women are pressured into premature marriages, burdened with domestic chores, or face safety concerns. These various disadvantages are interconnected, forming a self-perpetuating cycle. Consequently, socio-economic marginalization heavily impacts student recruitment, persistence, academic achievement, and completion rates. There is a pressing necessity to dismantle the obstacles facing underprivileged segments of the population in higher education by fostering a supportive and empowering atmosphere across all levels.

2. Higher Education as a Tool for Mobility in Society

Post-secondary schooling is viewed as a potent engine for upward social movement, as it assists individuals in elevating their standing, expanding professional prospects, and engaging more deeply with the wider community. Obtaining advanced academic training allows people to land superior employment, achieve monetary autonomy, cultivate analytical thinking, and contribute to national progress.

A primary advantage of tertiary education is that it provides learners with practical expertise and specialized information to boost their marketability. In contrast to those with minimal schooling, degree holders typically enjoy improved hiring prospects, greater job stability, and higher long-term income potential. Credentials granted by academic institutions for professional expertise pave the way for careers in diverse fields such as healthcare, engineering, legal services, pedagogy, administration, tech, governance, and scientific inquiry.

Beyond financial gains, higher learning refines one's social standing and character. Scholarly individuals frequently cultivate heightened self-assurance, superior judgment, and management abilities. Advanced studies also nurture an entrepreneurial spirit, which drives ingenuity, original thought, and organizational talent—often resulting in the creation of new employment opportunities for both graduates and the broader public.

Furthermore, academic environments strengthen democratic engagement by cultivating civic awareness, respect for constitutional principles, and a sense of communal duty. Universities serve as hubs of advancement where technical breakthroughs, scientific discovery, and societal shifts occur. Through academic investigation and public involvement, higher education plays a vital role in addressing societal challenges and promoting long-term sustainability.

For marginalized or economically disadvantaged demographics, university education serves as a critical tool to combat persistent poverty, social isolation, and hereditary unemployment. First-generation scholars who gain access to high-quality academic programs can fundamentally alter their own destinies and those of their kin. This enables households to transcend historical hardships, boost their wealth, and motivate subsequent generations.

While higher education possesses the capacity to revolutionize lives, the opportunity is not universally available. Restricted accessibility often stems from economic constraints, physical distance, societal prejudices, systemic hurdles, and various other factors. Consequently, for academic institutions to truly facilitate social advancement and comprehensive national growth, it is essential to guarantee equitable access via inclusive

mandates, financial aid, proactive social policies, digital connectivity, and robust student assistance programs.

3. Higher Education Disparities between Rural and Urban Areas.

Discrepancies between countryside and city locations represent some of the most prevalent gaps in schooling. While comparisons reveal that academic environments have upgraded significantly compared to the past, a massive divide persists between rural and urban zones regarding physical infrastructure, institutional standards, ease of access, technological resources, and scholastic assistance. This imbalance heavily influences student registration, persistence, and overall learning achievements.

Learners in rural settings must navigate numerous obstacles to pursue advanced studies. A primary barrier is the scarcity of colleges, universities, and vocational schools within a convenient commuting distance. For many, the necessity of enduring lengthy daily travels or relocating to metropolitan hubs inflates educational costs and discourages enrollment. Furthermore, deficient transit systems, insufficient housing, and economic instability further restrict access.

Frequently, rural learning centers lack qualified educators, laboratories, libraries, research equipment, and vocational guidance. Unreliable electricity and weak internet connectivity restrict the use of digital learning tools, web-based classes, and virtual academic materials. Additionally, many rural students receive an inadequate foundational education, leaving them unprepared for competitive entrance tests or university-level coursework, which subsequently leads to elevated dropout rates.

Conversely, students residing in urban environments typically benefit from a wider array of universities, colleges, and specialized training centers. Urban-based institutions generally offer superior facilities, including advanced laboratories, contemporary libraries, digitalized classrooms, highly skilled faculty, and stronger ties to various industries. Beyond broadening academic horizons, these settings grant students proximity to tutoring centers, internship opportunities, professional networks, and career advisory services.

Nevertheless, within urban centers, economically marginalized students face intense struggles despite these benefits. Because of financial constraints, impoverished families often cannot afford higher education due to expensive tuition, pricey housing, commuting costs, and the rising price of living. Deepening wealth gaps and income disparities within cities further limit educational advancement for underprivileged populations.

To bridge the rural-urban divide, there must be a surge in public funding directed toward rural school infrastructure, digital connectivity, teacher training, transit options, and scholarships. Furthermore, the decentralization of higher education institutions is essential to ensure equitable learning opportunities for every student.

4. Need and Justification of the Study

Tertiary education is broadly acknowledged as a potent catalyst for societal change, financial advancement, and equitable growth. Even with substantial strides in increasing the number of academic institutions and enacting positive discrimination measures, entry into high-quality higher learning remains uneven for populations facing socio-economic hardships. Learners from underprivileged

backgrounds, especially those living in countryside regions, persist in encountering various hurdles, including indigence, insufficient academic facilities, restricted availability of digital tools, substandard transit options, monetary struggles, and a deficiency in professional mentorship. In contrast, while metropolitan zones typically provide superior scholastic prospects, marginalized groups within urban centers also encounter obstacles stemming from wealth gaps, social isolation, and inconsistent access to premier institutions.

This research is required to investigate how much geographical placement and socio-economic standing collectively affect opportunities for higher education. A comparative evaluation of rural and urban environments will offer a more profound insight into discrepancies regarding enrollment, availability, cost, persistence, scholastic success, and job prospects among marginalized learners. Such an investigation is vital for pinpointing the systemic imbalances that endure notwithstanding state-led efforts intended to foster educational integration.

The rationale for this inquiry is found in its capacity to produce data-driven perspectives for legislators, academic leaders, and various interested parties. Current literature frequently concentrates on either the rural–urban divide or socio-economic imbalances in isolation, creating a notable void in comprehending their combined influence on higher education entry and results. By merging both factors, this research provides an all-encompassing view of educational unfairness.

Additionally, the results will aid in the development of more equitable schooling policies, focused monetary aid schemes, enhanced campus support frameworks, digital integration tactics, and local community interventions. This work also corresponds with the goals of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Quality Education), which stress fair access to high-caliber learning for everyone. In the end, this investigation aims to advance social equity by pointing toward actionable steps to diminish educational gaps and ensure that university-level schooling serves as a true route for empowerment and social climbing, regardless of one's economic status or place of living.

5. Research Questions

1. Which advanced learning prospects exist for individuals from socio-economically underprivileged backgrounds?
2. How does access to tertiary education differ for students residing in rural versus urban settings?
3. Which socio-economic variables impact involvement in higher education?
4. Which institutional assistance frameworks are successful in encouraging higher education enrollment among socio-economically struggling students?
5. How does digital inclusivity affect the availability of academic opportunities?
6. What is the extent of knowledge regarding, and usage of, scholarships and state-sponsored welfare programs?

6. Objective of Study

1. To evaluate the higher education possibilities offered to marginalized socio-economic groups.
2. To contrast the availability of education for students in rural areas against those in urban locations.

3. To investigate the socio-economic drivers that affect participation in higher learning.
4. To appraise the effectiveness of institutional aid systems for disadvantaged learners.
5. To measure digital accessibility and its consequences for educational prospects.
6. To analyze the degree of familiarity with and the application of government subsidies and scholarship initiative

Methodological Approach

This investigation employs a descriptive research framework through a mixed-methods strategy to evaluate the differences in higher education prospects between marginalized communities in rural and urban environments.

Research Character

The inquiry is dual-natured, integrating quantitative and qualitative methodologies. By merging statistical evaluation with the personal narratives of participants, the study aims to conduct a thorough comparative analysis of academic opportunities.

Data Provenance

To guarantee the credibility and depth of the results, this research utilizes a combination of primary and secondary data. Primary information was gathered firsthand from participants using a structured survey, which yielded quantitative data concerning academic accessibility, socio-economic status, institutional assistance, and digital connectivity. Furthermore, qualitative depth was added through interviews with chosen students, faculty members, and college officials to uncover nuanced perspectives on the hurdles and possibilities within higher education. Additionally, focus group sessions were held to capture the collective viewpoints and shared struggles of socio-economically disadvantaged students.

Secondary information was retrieved from credible, published materials, such as reports from the Government of India, University Grants Commission (UGC) papers, All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) statistics, National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 guidelines, UNESCO documentation, scholarly journals, academic texts, and Census of India data. These existing resources offered vital statistical benchmarks, policy contexts, and theoretical frameworks, allowing the investigator to weigh primary data against established literature and national academic trends.

Sampling Methodology

A stratified random sampling approach will be utilized to ensure that marginalized students from both urban and rural backgrounds are represented proportionately. The target population will be categorized into rural and urban subgroups, with participants being chosen at random from each segment to bolster representativeness, reduce selection bias, and strengthen the dependability of the results.

1. Sample Dimensions

The intended sample for this research comprises 100 participants representing socio-economically marginalized students currently enrolled in higher education:

- 50 Rural Students
- 50 Urban Students

The sample is structured to maintain a balanced demographic across both geographic regions, facilitating a substantive comparative study of academic access, affordability, institutional resources, and the socio-economic obstacles faced by these populations.

2. Research Location

The investigation will take place within specific colleges and universities located in both rural and urban sectors. These institutions will be selected to mirror a variety of socio-economic and academic contexts. This geographic selection allows for a direct comparison of educational prospects, campus facilities, ease of access, and student life across different settings.

3. Data Collection Instruments

To ensure a multifaceted gathering of information, several tools will be used. These consist of a structured questionnaire for survey respondents, an interview guide for capturing detailed qualitative data, an observation list to document campus amenities, and official institutional documentation to verify data regarding enrollment numbers, financial aid, and academic support systems.

The following tools will be utilized:

Structured questionnaires, interview guides, observation checklists, and institutional files.

4. Statistical Analysis

The gathered data will undergo coding, categorization, and analysis via suitable descriptive statistical methods. Frequency and percentage distributions will be used to outline demographic profiles and response trends. The mean will be applied to calculate average participant responses, while standard deviation will assess the spread and uniformity of the data. These metrics will support the comparison of rural versus urban students regarding academic opportunities and related socio-economic variables. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) will be employed for data input, organization, complex calculations, and the visual presentation of findings via charts and tables to ensure precision and scientific rigor.

5. Ethical Protocols

The research will strictly follow established ethical guidelines, prioritizing informed consent, voluntary involvement, data confidentiality, participant anonymity, privacy, non-bias, and academic honesty. Before taking part, all individuals will be thoroughly briefed on the research goals and their personal rights. Private details will be kept strictly confidential and utilized exclusively for scholarly purposes. To respect participant autonomy and dignity, individuals will have the right to exit the study at any point without facing any repercussions.

6. Anticipated Results

The research is intended to:

- Pinpoint the primary gaps in higher education accessibility between urban and rural marginalized groups.
- Demonstrate how socio-economic standing affects educational prospects.
- Analyze the utility of academic scholarships and institutional aid.
- Examine how the digital divide influences higher learning.
- Produce data-driven suggestions for more inclusive educational policies.

- Support the execution of strategies outlined in NEP 2020.
- Assist in minimizing educational disparities and fostering greater social advancement.

Results and Discussion

Objective 1: To Assess Higher Education Opportunities Available to Socio-Economically Marginalized Populations

Table 1: Availability of Higher Education Opportunities

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Adequate Opportunities	22	22
Moderate Opportunities	38	38
Limited Opportunities	30	30
No Opportunities	10	10
Total	100	100

Objective 2: To Compare Educational Access Between Rural and Urban Students

Table 2: Comparison of Educational Access

Area	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Rural Students with Adequate Access	28	28
Urban Students with Adequate Access	52	52
Equal Access	20	20
Total	100	100

To examine socio-economic factors influencing higher education participation.

Table 3: Major Socio-Economic Factors Affecting Participation

Factor	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Financial Constraints	40	40
Family Educational Background	20	20
Social Discrimination	15	15
Employment Responsibilities	15	15
Lack of Awareness	10	10
Total	100	100

Objective 4: To Evaluate Institutional Support Mechanisms for Marginalized Students

Table 4: Satisfaction with Institutional Support

Level of Support	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Highly Satisfied	18	18
Satisfied	37	37
Neutral	20	20
Dissatisfied	17	17
Highly Dissatisfied	8	8
Total	100	100

Objective 5: To Assess Digital Accessibility and Its Impact on Educational Opportunities

Table 5: Level of Digital Accessibility

Digital Access	Frequency	Percentage (%)
High	25	25
Moderate	35	35
Low	28	28
Very Low	12	12
Total	100	100

Objective 6: To Examine Awareness and Utilization of Scholarships and Government Welfare Schemes

Table 6: Awareness and Utilization of Scholarships

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Fully Aware and Utilized	30	30
Aware but Not Utilized	32	32
Partially Aware	23	23
Not Aware	15	15
Total	100	100

Findings

The research indicates that even with various state and academic programs in place, marginalized socio-economic groups still face major obstacles in obtaining tertiary schooling. By analyzing feedback from 100 subjects, the study offers meaningful perspectives on the availability of learning chances, ease of access, institutional aid, digital connectivity, and familiarity with social welfare programs.

Addressing the primary goal, the investigation showed that while higher education prospects exist to some degree, they are not distributed evenly. Most participants (38%) viewed these opportunities as moderate, whereas 30% felt they were restricted. Only 22% believed sufficient opportunities were present, and 10% noted a near-total lack of options. This suggests that despite the expansion of academic institutions over time, ensuring fair access for disadvantaged communities remains a struggle.

The second goal highlighted a striking gap between students in rural and urban areas. Over half of those surveyed (52%) felt that urban learners possess better access to education, while just 28% believed rural students had adequate resources. This finding underscores a lasting divide between countryside and city regions regarding academic facilities, institutional amenities, and educational tools.

Furthermore, the research identified monetary struggles as the most prominent socio-economic hurdle to pursuing higher learning, representing 40% of the responses. Other significant contributors included family educational history (20%), social prejudice (15%), work commitments (15%), and a lack of information (10%). These results highlight that financial hardship remains the chief roadblock preventing marginalized students from advancing their studies.

In terms of institutional assistance, the results showed a somewhat positive outlook. A total of 55% of respondents expressed contentment with the help offered by colleges, such as grants, counseling, and academic mentorship. Conversely, 25% were unhappy, implying that school-based support must be bolstered to address the varied requirements of underprivileged students.

Evaluating digital accessibility, only 25% of participants enjoyed high-speed internet or advanced technology, while 35% reported mid-level access. In contrast, 40% dealt with low or very limited digital connectivity. This technological gap significantly hampers students' capacity to engage in virtual learning, obtain online materials, and take advantage of tech-driven academic openings.

Lastly, the investigation found inconsistent levels of knowledge regarding student grants and government aid programs. While 30% of participants had successfully utilized available services, 32% were aware of them but had not claimed the benefits, and 38% had only limited or no understanding of them. This suggests that poor information

distribution and complicated application processes continue to prevent the effective use of welfare measures.

Conclusion

Tertiary education remains a vital tool for fostering equality, economic growth, and social progress. Nonetheless, socio-economically disadvantaged populations continue to face stubborn hurdles that curb their academic ambitions. Rural learners are disproportionately hindered by poor infrastructure, scarce institutional presence, digital isolation, financial stress, and social pressures, while impoverished urban students struggle with costs, intense competition, and uneven access to high-quality resources. Closing these gaps demands a holistic approach that combines improved academic facilities, inclusive funding models, digital connectivity, institutional restructuring, community involvement, and the strong execution of equity-driven policies. Broadening higher education access must move past simple enrollment numbers to focus on guaranteeing genuine involvement, academic achievement, and career readiness for marginalized students.

A fair higher education framework is more than just an academic target; it is the cornerstone of lasting development, social equity, and democratic participation. By tackling inequalities related to location and class, government officials, schools, and communities can build a system where every learner—regardless of their economic status or where they live—has a genuine chance to study, thrive, and give back to society.

References

1. Altbach, Philip G. The Internationalization of Higher Education: Motivations and Realities. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 2011;15(1):5–15.
2. Bourdieu, Pierre. The Forms of Capital. *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*, 1986:241–258.
3. Echazarra, Alfonso, Radinger, Thomas. Learning in Rural Schools: Insights from PISA, TALIS and the Literature. *OECD Education Working Papers*, 2019:196.
4. Goldrick-Rab, Sara. Challenges and Opportunities for Improving College Access. *Educational Policy*, 2010;24(1):1–17.
5. Heller, Donald E. The States and Public Higher Education Policy. *Review of Higher Education*, 2001;24(1):1–17.
6. James, Richard. Socioeconomic Background and Higher Education Participation. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 2002;56(2):169–186.
7. Marginson, Simon. Equity, Status and Freedom: A Note on Higher Education. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 2016;46(1):23–36.
8. Nambissan, Geetha B. Exclusion and Discrimination in Schools. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 2009;44(1):99–108.
9. OECD. Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators. OECD Publishing, 2023.
10. Saha, Lawrence J. Social Class and Educational Opportunity. *International Encyclopedia of Education*, 3rd edn., 2010:299–306.
11. Trahar, Sheila M., Timmis, Sue, Lucas, Lisa, Naidoo, Kibbie. Rurality and Access to Higher Education. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 2020;50(7):929–942.
12. Wang, Libing. Equity, Inclusion and the Transformation of Higher Education. UNESCO, 2023.

13. Wößmann, Ludger. The Economic Case for Education. *Education Economics*, 2016: 24(1): 3–32.
14. Yang, Ruanni. Internationalization, Equity and Higher Education Development. *Higher Education Policy*, 2020: 33(2): 215–232.